



Online Learning in Educational Research

Volume 4, Issue 2, 157-166.

e_ISSN: 2798-2351

<https://www.journal.foundae.com/index.php/oler/index>

DOI: 10.58524/oler.v4i2.456

Cross-Cultural Online Education: Benefits, Challenges, and Solutions

Changiz Mohiyeddini*

Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine
USA

Article Info

Article history:

Received: September 29, 2024

Revised: November 20, 2024

Accepted: November 30, 2024

Published: December 20, 2024

Keywords:

Cross-Cultural Education;

Global Mobility;

Inclusive Curriculum;

Language Barriers;

Online Education.

Abstract

This article aims to explore the benefits and challenges of cross-cultural online education in our globalized and digitalized educational systems. Pervasive forms of cross-cultural dynamics are prevalent. By removing geographical and economic barriers, online education has transformed higher education, enabling students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds to access high-quality education and enroll in courses globally without relocating, enhancing educational equity, and, by extension, democratizing education. However, cultural diversity presents challenges for establishing inclusive and equitable cross-cultural online education. As the first paper to specifically discuss cross-cultural dynamics in online education, this paper highlights these challenges. It offers solutions to ensure that cross-cultural online education remains inclusive and equitable.

To cite this article: Mohiyeddini, C. (2024). Cross-cultural online education: Benefits, challenges, and solutions. *Online Learning in Educational Research*, 4(2), 157-166. <https://doi.org/10.58524/oler.v4i2.456>

INTRODUCTION

In its various manifestations, online education has revolutionized higher education (Chung et al., 2022; Means et al., 2009; Sowmia et al., 2023). It encompasses diverse forms, including fully online courses (Do & Kim, 2016; Juhji et al., 2022), blended learning models (Bozkurt, 2022; Noor et al., 2022; Nurulsari et al., 2023), and massive open online courses (MOOCs) (Zheng et al., 2018), all of which have grown significantly due to advancements in technology and increasing demand for accessible education. This shift has democratized access to quality education, enabling institutions to cater to non-traditional learners such as working professionals, parents, and individuals in remote areas (Kramer-Gordon & Bradley, 2023). Furthermore, the global pandemic accelerated the adoption of online education, transforming it from an alternative option to a necessity in many educational contexts. Within this context, cross-cultural online education (CCOE)—the focus of this inquiry—transcends national and cultural boundaries by abolishing geographical barriers, thereby enabling students from diverse backgrounds to enroll in programs that were previously inaccessible due to physical distance or economic constraints (Altbach & Knight, 2007).

Global mobility has significantly increased cultural diversity within online classrooms and curricula, extending beyond nationality to encompass linguistic diversity, religious beliefs, socioeconomic backgrounds, and cultural practices (Anderson & Moore, 2003; Deardorff et al., 2012;

* Corresponding author:

Changiz Mohiyeddini, Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine, USA. ✉ mohiyeddini@oakland.edu

[Starr-Glass, 2021](#)). While this diversity enriches the educational experience, it also requires educators to develop cross-cultural competence—the ability to understand, respect, and respond effectively to students' diverse cultural backgrounds in online learning environments. Cross-cultural competence is essential for educators to create inclusive and supportive classrooms that accommodate the unique needs of a global student population. Therefore, this paper aims to discuss the opportunities and challenges CCOE offers and propose solutions for developing cross-cultural competence in educators.

DISCUSSION

Advantages and Potential of Cross-Cultural Online Education (CCOE)

CCOE offers a unique set of opportunities that can greatly enrich the educational experience by providing a comprehensive and inclusive approach to learning. By fostering a dynamic learning environment, CCOE encourages students to engage in diverse classroom discussions that promote critical thinking and collaboration. This diversity enhances academic learning and broadens students' perspectives by exposing them to varied cultural and intellectual viewpoints ([Kumi-Yeboah, 2019](#)). Additionally, CCOE is pivotal in facilitating cultural exchange, allowing students from different backgrounds to share experiences, values, and traditions, fostering a sense of global citizenship ([Lahar, 2023](#)). Furthermore, CCOE emphasizes individualized learning by offering tailored resources and mentorship programs that cater to each student's unique needs and aspirations. These initiatives ensure that every learner has the opportunity to excel academically and personally, preparing them for success in a rapidly evolving world. In essence, CCOE is an educational platform and a transformative experience that equips students with the skills, knowledge, and values needed to thrive in a global society.

Democratizing Education and Educational Equity through Global Access

A key accomplishment of CCOE is enabling students to enroll in courses internationally without needing physical relocation. By removing geographical barriers, CCOE provides students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, specifically those in low-income countries, with access to high-quality education from prestigious institutions around the globe ([Dhawan, 2020](#)). This geographically unrestricted access to high-quality education contributes to greater educational equity, improves learning outcomes, and enhances vocational prospects for students, thereby democratizing education. This is evidenced by recent empirical research showing that online education platforms have significantly expanded educational opportunities for students in remote and underserved areas, allowing them to access resources and expertise that were previously out of reach ([Almarzooq et al., 2020](#); [Dhawan, 2020](#); [Singh & Hardaker, 2017](#)).

Diverse Learning Environments

Cultural diversity enriches the learning environment ([Gurin et al., 2002](#)). It offers the opportunity to bring multiple perspectives and diverse viewpoints into the classroom, which fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills as students are exposed to different viewpoints and approaches ([Lewis, 2015](#)). In addition, cultural diversity in the classroom can lead to more robust discussions and a deeper understanding of complex sociocultural issues ([Banks, 2016](#)). Students learn to appreciate different cultural norms, values, and habits, which can help them become more culturally proficient, empathetic, and effective communicators. Furthermore, diverse learning environments prepare students to compete successfully in a global labor market by developing their ability to work collaboratively with co-workers and clients from different cultural backgrounds ([Deardorff, 2006](#)).

Cultural Exchange and Collaboration

CCOE can facilitate virtual international partnerships, cultural exchanges, and collaborations among students from different backgrounds ([Helm, 2015](#)). A recent publication by O'Dowd ([2021](#)) highlights that virtual exchange programs effectively enhance students' intercultural competence and

communication skills, helping students gain cultural knowledge about various topics, such as social norms, religious beliefs, and societal issues in other countries. These experiences promote critical thinking and challenge the preconceived notions, stereotypes, or generalizations that students might hold about other cultures, societies, or ways of life. At the same time, they could encourage students to critically reflect on their cultural perspectives and to be more mindful of the diversity of human culture.

Supporting Strategies for Cross-Cultural Online Education

To ensure these benefits are fully realized, it is essential to implement strategies that foster student engagement and create inclusive learning experiences. Engaged students are more likely to actively participate in their learning process, leading to deeper understanding and knowledge retention (Digout & Samra, 2023; Oseghale et al., 2023). Strategies such as collaborative projects, interactive discussions, and technology integration can make learning more dynamic and appealing. Moreover, inclusivity in education requires accommodating diverse learning needs, backgrounds, and abilities to ensure equitable opportunities for all students (Hove, 2022). This can be achieved by adopting differentiated instruction, culturally responsive teaching practices, and creating a supportive classroom environment that values diversity. By prioritizing engagement and inclusivity, educators can empower students to reach their full potential and develop the critical thinking, communication, and problem-solving skills necessary for success in a rapidly evolving world (Fazio, 2020).

Creating an Inclusive and Culturally Reflective Learning Environment

Leveraging the benefits of CCOE requires that all students feel valued and that their unique backgrounds, including cultural identities, are understood and properly reflected in the learning experience. Therefore, educators must be educated and trained to effectively manage and embrace cross-cultural differences in their classrooms. Empirical results show that acknowledging and respecting the cultural backgrounds of students increases their engagement and academic performance (Jones et al., 2018; Ladson-Billings, 1995). However, it seems plausible to assume that this association may be even stronger in an online learning environment, where students may already feel disconnected due to the lack of face-to-face interaction. For instance, using case studies and examples from various cultures can make the teaching materials more inclusive, relatable, and meaningful to students (Sleeter, 2012). Moreover, incorporating in-class exercises where students can share their cultural experiences and perspectives in the discussions can enrich the learning experience for all students and enhance their sense of belonging (Chen et al., 2021; Park & Shea, 2020).

Customizing Learning Experiences to Students' Needs

Advances in technology now allow educators to accommodate diverse cultural backgrounds and tailor learning experiences to better meet individual student needs (Sung & Mayer, 2013). Educational content and learning materials can be tailored to include culturally relevant examples and case studies. In addition, translation tools and multilingual resources can support students who are not native speakers of the course language. By leveraging technology, educators can create inclusive learning environments that cater to their students' diverse needs and preferences. Empirical data supports that personalized learning experiences significantly increase student academic performance (Al-Husban, 2020; Baldwin & Ching, 2019).

Challenges in Implementing Cross-Cultural Online Education

As already highlighted, cultural diversity in educational settings offers many benefits. However, it also presents challenges that educators must address (Vlachopoulos, 2020). The following subsections explore key obstacles in Cross-Cultural Online Education, from overcoming language barriers to addressing systemic inequities, and discuss strategies to help educators navigate these challenges.

Fostering an Environment that Embraces Cultural Diversity

Promoting educational equity and inclusion in a cross-cultural online environment requires more than acknowledging cultural diversity. It requires creating an environment where all students feel valued and have an equal voice and access to learning opportunities. Therefore, systemic barriers (e.g., language barriers for non-native speakers, limited access to technology and reliable internet, culturally biased curriculum materials, inflexible assessment methods, lack of diverse representation among educators and leaders, implicit bias and stereotyping, time zone, and scheduling conflicts, limited support for students with disabilities, and financial barriers) must be identified and adequately addressed to prevent disadvantages for certain groups of students and to provide sufficient support to help them succeed. Language barriers, different communication styles, and varying educational backgrounds, to name a few, can create misunderstandings and hinder effective communication (Alkhamees & Alasqah, 2023; Arseneault, 2020; Barrot et al., 2021). Therefore, educators need to proactively identify and address these challenges to ensure all students have equal opportunities to succeed (Alqahtani & Rajkhan, 2020).

Language Barriers

UNESCO's 2019 report reveals that a significant proportion of the global population does not have access to education in a language they speak or understand. This fact reveals a critical issue and challenge in CCOE, where language differences are a lived reality. It must be bridged to ensure educational equity and effective student learning. Certainly, translation tools can help to overcome some obstacles. However, they are imperfect, leaving room for misunderstandings (Boonmoh & Kulavichian, 2023; Li & Liu, 2022). Advanced translation technologies cannot entirely bridge the gap, as they often fail to capture cultural nuances and context-specific meanings (Guerra, 2012). Automated translation, for example, often struggles to capture cultural nuances, idiomatic expressions, and context-specific meanings, which can lead to misunderstandings or even cause unintended offense. Therefore, educators must be aware of these language-related barriers and provide additional support to non-native speakers to ensure they can fully participate in the course. For instance, institutions can mitigate these challenges and offer language support services, such as tutoring and language workshops, specifically designed for non-native speakers (Al-Mahrooqi & Denman, 2018). In addition, providing instructional materials that use simple and clear language and avoid idiomatic expressions that may confuse non-native speakers can help to overcome language barriers (Guerra, 2012).

Cultural Sensitivity and Bias

Cultural sensitivity in education involves recognizing and valuing the cultural backgrounds of all students. This key feature of culturally sensitive education has been shown to promote students' engagement and contribute significantly to their success (Gay, 2018). In contrast, cultural biases and stereotypes undermine the learning environment's inclusivity and diminish students' well-being and learning performance (Chaudhary & Dey, 2021). Therefore, to create a culturally sensitive curriculum, educators must be adequately trained to proactively work to identify and address their own biases and those of their students. This, among others, includes being mindful of their students' cultural backgrounds and avoiding content that may be offensive or exclusionary. Professional development programs focused on cultural competence and inclusive teaching practices can help educators develop the skills to create a supportive and respectful learning environment for all students (Howard, 2020).

Strategies for Effective Cross-Cultural Online Education

To maximize the benefits of Cross-Cultural Online Education (CCOE) and create an inclusive learning environment, it is essential to implement strategies that address the diverse needs of students and equip educators with the skills necessary to foster cultural understanding. Successful CCOE goes beyond simply acknowledging cultural diversity; it requires a proactive approach to ensure that educators are adequately trained, systematic barriers are removed, and resources are available to allow all students to feel valued and represented.

Training Cross-Culturally Competent Educators to Create an Inclusive Curriculum and Learning Environment

Cross-culturally Competent Educators are teachers and educational professionals who possess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to effectively understand, respect, and respond to the diverse cultural backgrounds of their students. Empirical evidence suggests that an inclusive curriculum improves engagement and learning outcomes and helps students better understand global issues and diverse perspectives (Sleeter, 2012). Using culturally relevant pedagogies that connect learning to students' cultural experiences makes the content more relatable and meaningful (Ladson-Billings, 1995). Therefore, providing educators with training in cultural competency is an imminent necessity for successfully implementing cross-cultural online education (Bennett & Salonen, 2007). Cultural competency in education involves recognizing and respecting students' cultural identities and incorporating their cultural backgrounds into the learning process (Gay, 2010).

Integrating Practical Skills for Cross-Cultural Classroom Management

Effective cross-cultural training for educators should also include practical tools for managing group dynamics and encouraging equitable participation among students from diverse backgrounds. For example, educators could be trained to use rotation-based group work, which allows students to collaborate with peers from various cultural backgrounds, helping them build interpersonal skills and cultural empathy. Other strategies, such as active listening exercises and culturally sensitive questioning techniques, can empower educators to engage students in ways that honor their unique perspectives. Practical scenarios, role-playing activities, and case studies based on real-life classroom challenges could be incorporated into the training to help educators practice and refine these skills before applying them in their teaching. Such targeted, skill-based training would enable educators to approach cross-cultural interactions confidently and competently.

Furthermore, training programs should include applicable and simple strategies for integrating cultural competence into online teaching (Teräs, 2022). For instance, using culturally diverse examples, fostering an inclusive classroom climate that facilitates discussions that respect and value all students' perspectives, and employing equitable assessment practices are impactful approaches to fostering inclusive and equitable learning environments (Dwyer et al., 2014).

In addition, inclusive curriculum design requires implementing an iterative process of continuous reflection and adaptation. Educators should solicit feedback from students about the inclusivity of the course content and be willing to make changes based on this feedback (Banks, 2016). This ensures that the curriculum remains relevant and responsive to the needs of all students. Furthermore, providing course materials in multiple languages and translation tools can support non-native speakers.

Providing Access to Cultural Resources and Support Networks

In addition to faculty development programs, institutions can support educators by providing access to resources and networks that enhance their cultural understanding (Banks & Banks, 2019). This support might include connecting educators with cultural liaisons, language experts, or external workshops on intercultural communication. Educational institutions could also develop support networks where educators can share experiences, discuss challenges, and collaboratively develop solutions to common cross-cultural issues. By establishing a system of ongoing support, institutions can help educators continually refine their skills and adapt to the dynamic needs of CCOE, ultimately fostering a richer and more inclusive learning environment.

Implementing Non-Technological Strategies for Cultural Inclusivity

Beyond technological proficiency and reducing dependence on technology, institutions should consider incorporating non-technological methods that foster deeper cultural engagement and a sense of belonging among students (Reich & Ito, 2017). These could include storytelling sessions where students can share cultural experiences or student-led discussions that bring diverse perspectives into classroom conversations (Alterio & McDrury, 2003). These activities provide a platform for students to

express their cultural identities and encourage authentic exchanges that are often more meaningful than interactions mediated by technology. Such methods allow students to develop cultural competencies in a more interactive-naturalistic and dynamic manner, promoting an inclusive learning environment where every student feels understood, valued, and included (Banks, 2016).

Addressing the Cost and Accessibility of Advanced Technologies

The cost and accessibility of advanced technologies, such as high-quality translation services or AI-driven platforms, can create disparities among institutions with different financial resources (Warschauer, 2003). Schools in underserved areas, particularly in developing regions, may not have access to these technologies, which could reinforce existing inequities in cross-cultural education and the digital divide (Colom, 2020). To address this gap, institutions should focus on affordable, inclusive methods that allow students from all backgrounds to participate equally. These efforts could involve low-cost but high-impact practices, such as offering multilingual resources, fostering peer language support networks, or developing partnerships that bring in resources from cultural organizations. By acknowledging these challenges, educational institutions can work toward a more equitable approach to cross-cultural online education.

Promoting A Culture of Cross-Cultural Interactions

Encouraging cross-cultural interactions among students is an important method to promote cross-cultural online education. Creating opportunities for cross-cultural interaction requires careful planning and facilitation. Educators should design activities that require students to collaborate and communicate with peers from different cultural backgrounds. This can include group projects, team-based learning exercises, peer review activities, discussion forums, virtual cultural exchange events, and discussion prompts encouraging students to share their cultural perspectives (Deardorff, 2006).

LIMITATIONS

This study comprehensively analyzes the benefits, challenges, and strategies associated with cross-cultural online education (CCOE). However, it has several limitations that warrant consideration. First, the findings rely primarily on secondary data and literature reviews, which may not fully capture the evolving and contextual dynamics of CCOE implementation across diverse educational settings. Second, the study does not include empirical data from primary sources, such as surveys or interviews with educators and students actively engaged in CCOE environments, limiting the scope of direct applicability to real-world scenarios. Additionally, technological advancements, particularly in translation tools and AI-driven platforms, are rapidly evolving, which could render some of the challenges and proposed solutions outlined in this study less relevant over time. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating longitudinal and empirical studies, examining the specific experiences of educators and students, and exploring the impact of emerging technologies on cross-cultural online education.

CONCLUSION

The growing cultural diversity within educational settings, global student mobility, and international exchange programs highlight the need for cross-cultural online education. Key advantages include enriched learning experiences through diverse perspectives, enhanced critical thinking and problem-solving skills, and improved intercultural communication—skills essential for the global labor market. However, implementing cross-cultural online education presents challenges such as language barriers, cultural biases, and varying educational backgrounds, which can hinder effective communication and inclusivity. To maximize the benefits of cross-cultural education, institutions must invest in training culturally competent educators skilled in inclusive teaching methods and curriculum design. Solutions include offering professional development in cultural competence, using culturally

relevant materials and multilingual resources, and incorporating collaborative activities like virtual exchanges to foster mutual understanding.

Additionally, integrating feedback loops and student input can help educators continually adapt curricula to better reflect the diverse needs of their students. Through these strategies, educational institutions can create a supportive learning environment where all students feel valued, have equal opportunities to succeed, and are well-prepared for a diverse workforce. This approach advances educational equity and empowers students to thrive in a globally connected world.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

CM conceptualized the research, designed the analytical framework, conducted an in-depth analysis of cross-cultural online education dynamics, and prepared the final manuscript for publication. His comprehensive contribution integrates theoretical insights and practical solutions, offering a significant advancement in understanding and addressing the challenges of inclusivity and equity in globalized online education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all the scholars and researchers whose work has significantly contributed to understanding cross-cultural online education. Special thanks to the educational institutions and professionals whose insights and experiences informed the discussion in this article. The authors also acknowledge the invaluable support from colleagues and reviewers who provided constructive feedback during the preparation of this manuscript. Lastly, appreciation is extended to the publishers for facilitating the dissemination of this work to a broader academic audience.

REFERENCES

- Al-Husban, N. A. (2020). Critical thinking skills in asynchronous discussion forums: A case study. *International Journal of Technology in Education*, 3(2), 82. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.v3i2.22>
- Alkhamees, M., & Alasqah, I. (2023). Patient-physician communication in intercultural settings: An integrative review. *Heliyon*, 9(12), e22667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22667>
- Al-Mahrooqi, R., & Denman, C. (Eds.). (2018). *English Education in Oman* (Vol. 15). Springer Singapore. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0265-7>
- Almarzooq, Z. I., Lopes, M., & Kochar, A. (2020). Virtual learning during the covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 75(20), 2635–2638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.04.015>
- Alqahtani, A. Y., & Rajkhan, A. A. (2020). E-learning critical success factors during the covid-19 pandemic: A comprehensive analysis of e-learning managerial perspectives. *Education Sciences*, 10(9), 216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10090216>
- Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). The internationalization of higher education: Motivations and realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11(3–4), 290–305. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315307303542>
- Alterio, M., & McDrury, J. (2003). *Learning through storytelling in higher education*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203416655>
- Anderson, W. G., & Moore, M. G. (2003). *Handbook of distance education*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Arseneault, R. (2020). Intercultural adjustment challenges of Korean and Canadian self-initiated expatriates in the workplace: An exploratory bidirectional investigation. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 20(2), 181–199. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470595820915630>
- Baldwin, S. J., & Ching, Y.-H. (2019). An online course design checklist: Development and users' perceptions. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 31(1), 156–172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-018-9199-8>

- Banks, J. A. (2016). Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching. *Routledge*.
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (2019). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Barrot, J. S., Llenares, I. I., & Del Rosario, L. S. (2021). Students' online learning challenges during the pandemic and how they cope with them: The case of the Philippines. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(6), 7321–7338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10589-x>
- Bennett, J. M., & Salonen, R. (2007). Intercultural communication and the new american campus. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 39(2), 46–50. <https://doi.org/10.3200/CHNG.39.2.46-C4>
- Boonmoh, A., & Kulavichian, I. (2023). A study of Thai EFL learners' problems with using online tools and dictionaries in English-to-Thai translation. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 44(2), 497–508. <https://doi.org/10.34044/j.kjss.2023.44.2.20>
- Bozkurt, A. (2022). A retro perspective on blended/hybrid learning: Systematic review, mapping and visualization of the scholarly landscape. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2022(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jime.751>
- Chaudhary, S., & Dey, A. K. (2021). Influence of student-perceived service quality on sustainability practices of university and student satisfaction. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 29(1), 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-10-2019-0107>
- Chen, E., Kaczmarek, K., & Ohyama, H. (2021). Student perceptions of distance learning strategies during covid-19. *Journal of Dental Education*, 85(S1), 1190–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jdd.12339>
- Chung, J., McKenzie, S., Schweinsberg, A., & Mundy, M. E. (2022). Correlates of academic performance in online higher education: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 820567. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.820567>
- Colom, A. (2020). *The digital divide: By Jan van Dijk*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2020, 208 pp., £17.99 (paperback), ISBN: 978-1-509-534456. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(11), 1706–1708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1781916>
- Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306287002>
- Deardorff, D., Wit, H., Heyl, J., & Adams, T. (2012). *The SAGE handbook of international higher education*. SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452218397>
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of covid-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018>
- Digout, J., & Samra, H. E. (2023). Interactivity and engagement tactics and tools. In N. Azoury & G. Yahouchi (Eds.), *Governance in Higher Education* (pp. 151–169). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-40586-0_8
- Do, J., & Kim, J. (2016). Understanding professors' online course design activity: Focusing on converting existing face-to-face course to online course. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Its Applications*, 10(11), 109–120. <https://doi.org/10.14257/ijseia.2016.10.11.09>
- Dwyer, C. P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2014). An integrated critical thinking framework for the 21st century. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 12, 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2013.12.004>
- Fazio, C. (2020). Active learning methods and strategies to improve student conceptual understanding: Some considerations from physics education research. In J. Guisasola & K. Zuza (Eds.), *Research and Innovation in Physics Education: Two Sides of the Same Coin* (pp. 15–35). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51182-1_2
- Gay, G. (2002). Preparing for culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 53(2), 106–116. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487102053002003>
- Gay, G. (2018). The development of culturally based standardized scale in visible learning for instructional leaders. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 12(4), 128–140. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-12-4-2>

- Guerra, A. F. (2012). Translating culture: Problems, strategies and practical realities. *[Sic] - a Journal of Literature, Culture and Literary Translation*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.15291/sic/1.3.lt.1>
- Gurin, P., Dey, E., Hurtado, S., & Gurin, G. (2002). Diversity and higher education: Theory and impact on educational outcomes. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72(3), 330–367. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.72.3.01151786u134n051>
- Helm, F. (2015). The practices and challenges of telecollaboration in higher education in Europe. *Language Learning & Technology*, 19(2), 197–217.
- Hove, N. (2022). The inclusiveness of mixed ability grouping in Johannesburg primary schools. *South African Journal of Childhood Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajce.v12i1.1047>
- Howard, T. C. (2020). *Why race and culture matter in schools*. Teachers College Press.
- Jones, J. M., Lee, L. H., Matlack, A., & Zigarelli, J. (2018). Using sisterhood networks to cultivate ethnic identity and enhance school engagement. *Psychology in the Schools*, 55(1), 20–35. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22087>
- Juhji, J., Riduan, A., Syaepudin, S., & Liani, D. A. (2022). Exploring student learning concentration through whatsapp group: A classroom teacher's effort in online learning during the pandemic. *Online Learning In Educational Research (OLER)*, 2(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.58524/oler.v2i1.85>
- Kramer-Gordon, G. J., & Bradley, E. G. (2023). Eliminating barriers for non-traditional minority adult learners (NMALs) in online spaces: In A. E. Lyn & M. Broderick (Eds.), *Advances in Educational Technologies and Instructional Design* (pp. 179–198). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-7712-0.ch011>
- Kumi-Yeboah, A. (2019). Designing cross-cultural collaborative online learning framework for online instructors. *Online Learning*, 22(4). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i4.1520>
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465>
- Lahar, C. J. (2023). Developing global connections. In R. A. R. Gurung & L. R. Prieto, *Getting Culture* (1st ed., pp. 241–255). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003445005-26>
- Lewis, E. F. (2015). Teaching crowds: Learning and social media. *Journal of Open, Flexible and Distance Learning*, 19(2), 61–62. <https://doi.org/10.61468/jofdl.v19i2.254>
- Li, W., & Liu, H. (2022). Diverse english translation teaching strategies from the perspective of computer-aided technology. *Computer-Aided Design and Applications*, 19(S7), 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.14733/cadaps.2022.S7.67-78>
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2009). *Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies*. U.S. Department of Education.
- Noor, R. N. F., Zainuddin, Z., Misbah, M., Hartini, S., & Dewantara, D. (2022). Blended learning with schoology in impulse and momentum materials: The development of physics teaching materials. *Online Learning In Educational Research (OLER)*, 1(2), 63–73. <https://doi.org/10.58524/oler.v1i2.47>
- Nurulsari, N., Nisa', K., Viyanti, V., & Yassine, B. (2023). HOTS-oriented student worksheets with blended learning: Improving students' science process skills. *Online Learning In Educational Research (OLER)*, 3(1), 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.58524/oler.v3i1.228>
- O'Dowd, R. (2021). Virtual exchange: Moving forward into the next decade. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(3), 209–224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1902201>
- Oseghale, O. R., Ochei, C., Oyelere, M., & Nyantakyiwaa, A. (2023). Class participation points and postgraduate business students' engagement: The case of a UK university. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2279576>
- Park, H., & Shea, P. (2020). A ten-year review of online learning research through co-citation analysis. *Online Learning*, 24(2). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v24i2.2001>
- Reich, J., & Ito, M. (2017). *From good intentions to real outcomes: Equity by design in learning technologies*. Digital Media and Learning Research Hub.

- Singh, G., & Hardaker, G. (2017). Change levers for unifying top-down and bottom-up approaches to the adoption and diffusion of e-learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 22(6), 736–748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1289508>
- Sleeter, C. E. (2012). Confronting the marginalization of culturally responsive pedagogy. *Urban Education*, 47(3), 562–584. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085911431472>
- Sowmia, K. R., Poonkuzhali, S., & Jeyalakshmi, J. (2023). Sentiment classification of higher education reviews to analyze students' engagement and psychology interventions using deep learning techniques. In Y.-D. Zhang, T. Senjyu, C. So-In, & A. Joshi (Eds.), *Smart Trends in Computing and Communications* (Vol. 396, pp. 257–265). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9967-2_25
- Starr-Glass, D. (2021). Culturally responsive pedagogy, national culture, and online instruction: Leading to learning. In I. R. Management Association (Ed.), *Research Anthology on Culturally Responsive Teaching and Learning* (pp. 323–342). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-9026-3.ch019>
- Sung, E., & Mayer, R. E. (2013). Online multimedia learning with mobile devices and desktop computers: An experimental test of Clark's methods-not-media hypothesis. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3), 639–647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.10.022>
- Teräs, M. (2022). Education and technology: Key issues and debates. *International Review of Education*, 68(4), 635–636. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-022-09971-9>
- Vlachopoulos, D. (2020). Covid-19: Threat or opportunity for online education? *Higher Learning Research Communications*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.18870/hlrc.v10i1.1179>
- Warschauer, M. (2003). *Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide*. The MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/6699.001.0001>
- Zheng, Q., Chen, L., & Burgos, D. (2018). Innovative and revolutionary potential of MOOCs. In Q. Zheng, L. Chen, & D. Burgos, *The Development of MOOCs in China* (pp. 25–35). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6586-6_3